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Block 3: Analysing two variables (and sometimes three)

### 3.3 Multiple response

### 3.3.3.3 Analysing multiple response

[Draft only: 5 March 2017]

## Exercise 3 - More response categories than code values

Exemplar: British Social Attitudes 1986
Previous tutorial: 3.3.3.2 Multiple response exercise 2 - More values than fields
SPSS saved file bsa86.sav

## Example 1: Single multiple response question

Using a question about welfare benefits received, this exercise demonstrates how to deal with a situation in which there are fourteen possible categories, one value to each of thirteen columns, and one of three values in a fourteenth, but only nine codes used of which six are duplicated.

AQ117/BQ125 Welfare benefits received [showcard GG]

| 125. | ASK ALL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CARD G G |  |  |
|  | Have you or anyone in this household been in receipt of any of the benefits on this card during the last five years? |  |  |
|  | IF YES: Which ones? Any others? Child benefit (family allowance) | 1 | (1739) |
|  | CODE ALL THAT APPLY Maternity benefit or allowance | 2 | (1740) |
|  | One parent benefit | 3 | (1741) |
|  | Family Income Supplement | 4 | (1742) |
|  | State retirement or widow's pension | 5 | (1743) |
|  | Supplementary pension | 6 | (1744) |
|  | Invalidity or disabled pension or benefit | 1 | $(1745)$ |
|  | Attendance/Invalid care/Mobility allowance | 2 | (1746) |
|  | Sickness or injury benefit | 3 | (1747) |
|  | Unemployment benefit | 4 | (1748) |
|  | Supplementary benefit | 5 | (1749) |
|  | Rate or rent rebate or allowance | 6 | (1750) |
|  | Other benefit(s) volunteered (SPECIFY) | 7 | (1751) |
|  | NO, NONE | 0 | (1752) |

Codes $1-6$ are repeated in columns $17 / 45$ to $17 / 50$ for the $7^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ categories (See red arrows above): code 7 is used for "Other" in column 17/51 and codes 0 for "None" 8 for "Don't know" and 9 for "Not applicable" in column 17/52.

The Technical Manual has hand-written notes showing that codes 8 for " $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{K}$ " (Don't know) and 9 "N/A" (Not applicable) were used in column 17/52, plus an additional code 1 "Graduated superannuation" in column 17/52.


This is quite a complex problem to resolve for multiple response. Without recoding, MULT RESP will combine the pairs of codes $1-6$ so that, for example Child Benefit and Disability Benefit will added together and tabulated under value 1. Codes $1-6$ in columns 17/45 to 17/50 therefore need to be changed. However if we change them to $7-12$, we have a problem. Code 7 is already allocated to "Other" and codes 8 (DK) and 9 (NA) are declared as missing.

As a check on the data you can run descriptives or frequencies for each of the variables v1739 to v1752 or use Data >> Define Variable Properties. In fact v1739 to v1751 each contain only a single value and v 1752 contains only four: 472 cases have value 0 (Not applicable) but the counts for values 1,8 and 9 are negligible.

[Extract of 5 cases from raw data: record 17]

| 1019217003010501213601 | 00051025198981 |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 982111310551870030586131 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1020317 | 03031 | $\mathbf{5}$ |  | 031111290601870300486231 |
| 1020517136010808323408 | 01981015102021 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 031111310501870300486111 |  |
| 1074117048020805312502 | 0004101410202112 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 07062111310652336240486111 |  |
| 1067617 | 98012 | $\mathbf{3 4 5 6}$ | 01 | 2231310532339140586111 |

Without recoding, code 5 in v1743 (State Retirement Pension) will be combined with code 5 in v1749 (Supplementary Benefit) into the same group variable. Thus SPSS commands:

```
mult resp groups BQ125 'Welfare benefits received '
    (v1739 to v1752 (0,9))
    /freq bq125.
```

. . produces the following table:
BQ125 Frequencies

a. Group

To resolve the problem:
Step 1: Recode v1752 values 8 and 9 to 88 and 89 and declare 88 and 89 as missing. Code 1 has only one case and should be recoded as 6 (Supplementary pension). Recode v1745 to v1750 values $1-7$ to $7-13$.

```
recode v1752 (8=88) (9=99)(1 = 6)
    / v1745 to v1751 (1=7)(2=8)(3=9)(4=10)(5=11)(6=12)(7=13).
missing values v1752 \((88,99)\)
mult resp groups BQ125 'Welfare benefits received '
    (v1739 to v1752 \((0,13)\) )
    /freq bq125.
```

[NB: These variables have no value labels in the original data set]

a. Group

Step 2: Write value labels to match (only needed for first variable in set):

```
value labels
    v1739
        O 'None'
        1 'Child Benefit'
        2 'Maternity Benefit'
        3 'One Parent Benefit'
        4 'Family Income Supplement'
        5 "State Retirement or Widow's Pension"
        6 'Supplementary Pension'
        7 'Invalidity Benefit'
        8'Care Allowance'
        9 'Sickness Benefit'
        10 'Unemployment Benefit'
        11 'Supplementary Benefit'
        12 'Rate or rent rebate'
        13 'Other'.
```

Step 3: Obtain table by:
mult resp groups BQ125 'Welfare benefits received ' (v1739 to v1752 (0,13)) /freq bq125.

| Case Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| BQ125 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2622 | 84.6\% | 478 | 15.4\% | 3100 | 100.0\% |

a. Group

Table as required:

## BQ125 Frequencies

|  |  | Responses |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of } \\ \text { Cases } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| BQ125 Welfare benefits received ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | None | 472 | 8.4\% | 15.3\% |
|  | Child Benefit | 1444 | 25.7\% | 46.7\% |
|  | Maternity Benefit | 323 | 5.8\% | 10.4\% |
|  | One Parent Benefit | 96 | 1.7\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Family Income Supplement | 66 | 1.2\% | 2.1\% |
|  | State Retirement or Widow's Pension | 723 | 12.9\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Supplementary Pension | 98 | 1.7\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Invalidity Benefit | 190 | 3.4\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Care Allowance | 97 | 1.7\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Sickness Benefit | 431 | 7.7\% | 13.9\% |
|  | Unemployment Benefit | 620 | 11.1\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Supplementary Benefit | 454 | 8.1\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Rate or rent rebate | 583 | 10.4\% | 18.8\% |
|  | Other | 11 | 0.2\% | 0.4\% |
| Total |  | 5608 | 100.0\% | 181.3\% |

a. Group

## 3b: Two multiple response questions using same coding scheme, but codes are repeated

Using a question about actions contemplated or actually done to protest against unjust laws, this exercise demonstrates how to handle a question in which there are nine response categories, but only codes 1-7 are used.

```
BQ86a Action would take }
    } to stop or change an unjust law [precoded with showcard P]
BQ86b Action ever taken }
```

There are nine possible substantive replies, but only 7 code values allocated!! Codes 1 and 2 are repeated for the eighth and ninth categories ( see arrows $\leftarrow$ below)


Columns 71 to 79 of record 6 and columns 08 to 16 of record 7 ( $2 \times 9=18$ columns) are allocated as fixed single column fields with unique values of 1 to 6,1 and 2 in sequence. Codes 1 and 2 are repeated at cols 6/78-79 and 7/15-16, but refer to completely different replies. V671 can only have value 1 , v672 can only have value 2 and so on until v677, which only has value 7 : v678 has only value 1 and v679 can have 2,8 or 9 .
[Extract from raw data: records 06 and 07]


To illustrate the problem:

```
mult resp groups
    q86a 'Which, if any, would you consider doing?'
    (v671 to v679 (1,9))
    q86b 'Which, if any, have you ever done?'
    ( v708 to v716 (1, 9))
    /freq q86a q86b.
```

. . produces the following tables:
q86a Frequencies

a. Group
q86b Frequencies

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| q86b Which, if any, have you ever done? ${ }^{a}$ | 1 | 195 | 10.4\% | 12.8\% |
|  | 2 | 905 | 48.2\% | 59.4\% |
|  | 3 | 42 | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
|  | 4 | 44 | 2.3\% | 2.9\% |
|  | 5 | 529 | 28.2\% | 34.7\% |
|  | 6 | 79 | 4.2\% | 5.2\% |
|  | 7 | 83 | 4.4\% | 5.4\% |
| Total |  | 1877 | 100.0\% | 123.2\% |

a. Group
. . in which value 1 for v671 and v708 (Contact MP) has been combined with value 1 from v678 and v715 (Form a group of like-minded people). Value 2 (None) for v679 and v716 has been combined with value 2 (Speak to influential person) for v672 and v709. Codes 8 and 9 have been omitted because they are declared as missing.

This is a complex problem for multiple response and involves recoding the repeated values of 1 in v678 and 2, 8 and 9 in v679, but needs great care when dealing with missing values.
Be careful if you're thinking of changing them to codes 8 and 9 which are used for denoting missing values! ( $8=\mathrm{DK}, 9=$ NA on $6 / 79$ and $7 / 16$ ) You need to change the missing values 8 and 9 to 88 and 99 inside SPSS first, and also declare them as missing. Note that, although only 1 digit is allowed in a single column in the raw data, there is no such limit on the values of cells inside the SPSS Data Editor.

As with the previous example, code 1 in columns $6 / 78$ and $7 / 15$ needs changing to 6 and code 2 in columns $6 / 79$ and $7 / 16$ needs changing to to 7 . The SPSS file uses positional variable names which match the original card and column locations of the raw data: the variables of interest are thus: v 678 v 679 v 715 and v 716 . Variables v 671 to v 678 and v 708 to v 715 contain only a single fixed value: v679 and v716 can contain, as well as 2 , values 8 (DK) and 9 (NA) which are declared as missing. As before codes 8 and 9 in v679 and v716 need recoding to 88 and 99 . The following SPSS commands:

```
recode v678 v679 v715 v716
    (8=88)(9=99)(1=8)(2=9).
missing values v679 v716 (88, 99).
mult resp groups
    q86a 'Which, if any, would you consider doing?'
    (v671 to v679 (1, 9))
    q86b 'Which, if any, have you ever done?'
    ( v708 to v716 (1,9))
    /freq q86a q86b.
```

. . produces different tables:

a. Group

a. Group

The original file has no value labels for these variables, so we need to supply some. SPSS only reads them from the first variable in the group specification.

```
value labels
    v671 v708
        1 'Contact my MP'
        2 'Speak to influential person'
        3 'Contact a government dept.'
        4 'Contact radio, TV or newspaper'
        5'Raise issue in org. to which I belong'
        6 \text { 'Sign a petition'}
        7 'Go on protest or demo.'
        8 'Form a group of like-minded people'
        9'None of these'.
```

q86a Frequencies

|  |  | Resp | ses | Percent of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent | Cases |
| q86a Which, if any, would | Contact my MP | 795 | 26.2\% | 51.6\% |
| you consider doing?a | Speak to influential person | 224 | 7.4\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Contact a government dept. | 177 | 5.8\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Contact radio, TV or newspaper | 232 | 7.6\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Raise issue in org. to which I belong | 1014 | 33.4\% | 65.8\% |
|  | Sign a petition | 162 | 5.3\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Go on protest or demo. | 156 | 5.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Form a group of like-minded people | 130 | 4.3\% | 8.4\% |
|  | None of these | 146 | 4.8\% | 9.5\% |
| Total |  | 3036 | 100.0\% | 197.0\% |

a. Group
q86b Frequencies

|  |  | Responses |  | Percent of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | Percent |  |
| q86b Which, if any, have you ever done? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Contact my MP | 171 | 9.1\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Speak to influential person | 50 | 2.7\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Contact a governement dept. | 42 | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Contact radio, TV or newspaper | 44 | 2.3\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Raise issue in org. to which I belong | 529 | 28.2\% | 34.7\% |
|  | Sign a petition | 79 | 4.2\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Go on protest or demo. | 83 | 4.4\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Form a group of like-minded people | 24 | 1.3\% | 1.6\% |
|  | None of these | 855 | 45.6\% | 56.1\% |
| Total |  | 1877 | 100.0\% | 123.2\% |

a. Group

## End of tutorial

Next tutorial: 3.3.3.4 Analysing multiple response 4 - Dichotomous mode [in progress]
Sets of questions with only two response categories (Yes, No) can be analysed as a block by treating them as multiple response questions. Indeed, any variable can be dichotomised and thus treated. The example asks whether the respondent has ever done any of a list actions involving a trade union (each item "Yes" or "No") and the exercise demonstrates how to define a dichotomous group variable and then tabulate it.

